

# GLOBAL GEODETIC OBSERVING SYSTEM— CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE GEODETIC NETWORK INFRASTRUCTURE

M. Pearlman, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics (CFA), Cambridge MA  
 Z. Altamimi, Institut Géographique National, Marne-La-Vallée, France  
 N. Beck, Geodetic Survey Division-Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario  
 R. Forsberg, Danish National Space Center, Copenhagen, Denmark  
 W. Gurtner, Astronomical Institute University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland  
 S. Kenyon, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, Arnold, MO  
 D. Behrend, F.G. Lemoine, C. Ma, C.E. Noll, E.C. Pavlis  
 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD  
 Z. Malkin, Institute of Applied Astronomy, St. Petersburg, Russia  
 A.W. Moore, F.H. Webb, R.E. Neilan, Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA  
 J.C. Ries, Center for Space Research, The University of Texas, Austin, TX  
 M. Rothacher, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany  
 P. Willis, Institut Géographique National, Saint Mandé, France

*Properly designed and structured ground-based geodetic networks materialize the reference systems to support sub-millimetre global change measurements over space, time, and evolving technologies. The Ground Networks and Communications Working Group (GN&C WG) of the International Association of Geodesy's Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS) has been working with the IAG measurement services (the IGS, ILRS, IVS, IDS and IGFS) to develop a strategy for building, integrating, and maintaining the fundamental network of instruments and supporting infrastructure in a sustainable way to satisfy the long-term (10 to 20 years) requirements identified by the GGOS Science Council.*

*Activities of this Working Group include the investigation of the status quo and the development of a plan for full network integration to support improvements in terrestrial reference frame establishment and maintenance, Earth orientation and gravity field monitoring, precision orbit determination, and other geodetic and gravimetric applications required for the long-term observation of global change. This integration process includes the development of a network of fundamental stations with as many co-located techniques as possible, with precisely determined intersystem vectors. This network would exploit the strengths of each technique and minimize the weaknesses where possible. This paper discusses the organization of the working group, the work done to date, and future tasks.*

*Des réseaux géodésiques terrestres bien conçus et structurés permettent de matérialiser les systèmes de référence afin de prendre en compte les changements mondiaux dans l'espace, le temps et les nouvelles technologies à un niveau inframillimétrique. Le groupe de travail sur les communications et les réseaux terrestres (Ground Networks and Communications Working Group (GN&C WG)) du Système global d'observation géodésique (GGOS) de l'Association internationale de géodésie (AIG) a travaillé avec les services de prises de mesures de l'AIG (l'IGS, l'ILRS, le SIR, l'IDS et l'IGFS) afin d'élaborer une stratégie pour édifier, intégrer et maintenir le réseau essentiel d'instruments et d'infrastructures de façon durable afin de répondre aux besoins à long terme (10 à 20 ans) cernés par le Conseil des sciences du GGOS.*

*Le Groupe de travail se prête notamment à l'évaluation du statu quo et à l'élaboration d'un plan pour l'intégration complète du réseau afin de comprendre les améliorations à l'élaboration et au maintien du cadre de référence terrestre, la surveillance de l'orientation et du champ gravitationnel terrestres, la détermination précise de l'orbite et d'autres applications géodésiques et gravimétriques nécessaires à l'observation des changements mondiaux à long terme. Ce processus d'intégration comprend l'élaboration d'un réseau de stations principales intégrant autant de techniques conjointes que possible et de vecteurs, déterminés avec précision, entre les systèmes. Ce réseau exploiterait les forces de chacune des techniques et minimiserait leurs faiblesses. Cet article présente l'organisation du groupe de travail, le travail accompli à ce jour ainsi que ses prochaines tâches.*

This is an extension of a paper originally published in: 2005 IAG/IAPSO/IABO Joint Assembly. Cairns Australia. August 22-26, 2005. Series: IAG Symposia, Vol. 130, in press.

## 1. Introduction

The Ground Networks and Communications Working Group (GN&C WG) of the Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS) is charged with developing a strategy to design, integrate, and maintain the fundamental space geodetic network. In this report, we review the significance of geodetic networks and the GGOS project. We also summarize the present state of, as well as future improvements to, and requirements on space geodetic networks, services, and products. The approach of the GN&C WG and preliminary conclusions follow.

### 1.1 Significance of the Terrestrial Reference Frame

Space geodesy provides precise position, velocity, and gravity on Earth, with resolution from local to global scales. The terrestrial reference system defines the terrestrial reference frame (TRF) in which positions, velocities, and gravity are reported. The reference surface for height reckoning, the geoid, is defined through the adopted gravity model, which is referenced to the TRF. The TRF is therefore a space geodesy product that links each of these observable quantities to other geophysical parameters on Earth. Its position, orientation, and evolution in space and time are the basis through which we connect and compare such measurements over space, time, and evolving technologies. It is the means by which we verify that observed temporal changes are geophysical signals rather than artefacts of the measurement system. It provides the foundation for much of the space-based and ground-based observations in Earth science and global change, including remote monitoring of sea level, sea surface, and ice surface topography, crustal deformation, temporal gravity variations, atmospheric circulation, and direct measurement of solid Earth dynamics. A precise TRF is also essential for interplanetary navigation, astronomy, and astrodynamics.

The realization of the TRF for its most demanding applications requires a mix of technologies, strategies and models. Different observational methods have different sensitivities, strengths, and sources of error. The task is complicated by the dynamic character of Earth's surface, which deforms on time scales of seconds to millennia and on spatial scales from local to global.

### 1.2 The Role of GGOS

In early 2004 under its new organization, the International Association of Geodesy (IAG) established the GGOS project ([www.ggos.org](http://www.ggos.org)) to coordinate geodetic research in support of scientific appli-

cations and disciplines [Rummel et al. 2002]. GGOS is intended to integrate different geodetic techniques, models, and approaches to provide better consistency, long-term reliability, and understanding of geodetic, geodynamic, and global change processes. Through the IAG's measurement services (International GNSS Service, formerly the International GPS Service (IGS), International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS), International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry (IVS), International DORIS Service (IDS), International Gravity Field Service (IGFS), and future International Altimeter Service (IAS)), GGOS will ensure the robustness of the three aspects of geodesy: geometry and kinematics, Earth orientation, and static and time-varying gravity field. It will identify geodetic products and establish requirements on accuracy, time resolution, and consistency. The project will work to coordinate an integrated global geodetic network and implement compatible standards, models, and parameters.

A fundamental aspect of GGOS is the establishment of a global network of stations with co-located techniques, to provide the strongest reference frames. GGOS will provide the scientific and infrastructural basis for all global change research and provide an interface to geodesy for the scientific community and to society in general. GGOS will strive to ensure the stability and ready access to the geometric and gravimetric reference frames by establishing uninterrupted time series of state-of-the-art global observations.

As shown in Figure 1, GGOS is organized into working groups headed by a Project Board and guided by a Science Council that helps define the scientific requirements to which GGOS will respond.

### 1.3 Role of the Ground Networks and Communications Working Group

The ground network of GGOS is fundamental since all GGOS data and products emanate from this infrastructure.

The Charter of the Ground Networks and Communications Working Group (GN&C) within GGOS is to develop a strategy to design, integrate, and maintain the fundamental geodetic network of instruments and supporting infrastructure in a sustainable way to satisfy the long-term (10 to 20 years) requirements identified by the GGOS Science Council. At the base of GGOS are the sensors and observatories situated around the world providing the timely, precise, and fundamental data essential for creating the GGOS products. Primary emphasis must be on sustaining the infrastructure needed to maintain evolving global reference frames while at the same time ensuring support to the scientific

*A fundamental aspect of GGOS is the establishment of a global network of stations with co-located techniques, to provide the strongest reference frames.*

applications' requirements. Opportunities to better integrate or co-locate with the infrastructure and communications networks of the many other Earth Observation disciplines now organizing under the Global Earth Observation System of Systems (GEOSS) should be sought and taken into account [Group on Earth Observations 2005].

Recognizing that the infrastructure and operations collectively contributing to the Services of the IAG are possible solely due to the voluntary contributions of the globally distributed collaborating agencies and their interest in maximized system performance and sustainable long-term efficient operations, the Working Group is made up of representatives of the measurement services plus other entities that are critical to guiding the activities of the Working Group:

- IGS: Angelyn Moore, Norman Beck
- ILRS: Mike Pearlman, Werner Gurtner
- IVS: Chopo Ma, Zinovy Malkin
- IDS: Pascal Willis
- IGFS: Rene Forsberg, Steve Kenyon
- ITRF and Local Survey: Zuheir Altamimi, Jinling Li
- IERS Technique Combination Research Centers: Marcus Rothacher
- IAS (future): Wolfgang Bosch
- Data Centers: Carey Noll
- Data Analysis: Erricos Pavlis, Frank Lemoine, Frank Webb, John Ries, Dirk Behrend

## 2. Global Geodetic Network Infrastructure

All infrastructure, and resulting analysis and products of GGOS and its constituent services are made possible through the goodwill voluntary contributions of national agencies and institutions and are coordinated by the IAG governance mechanisms.

The ground network of GGOS includes all the sites that have instruments of the IAG measurement services either permanently in place or regularly occupied by portable instruments. Some sites have more than one space geodesy technique co-located, and knowledge of the precise vectors between such co-located instruments (known as "local ties") is essential to full and accurate use of these co-locations.

Analysis centres use the ground networks' data for various purposes including positioning, Earth orientation parameters (EOP), the TRF, and the gravity field. The ground stations of the satellite techniques provide data for precise orbit determination (POD). The individual sites' reference points




Figure 1. GGOS Organization.

of the contributing space geodesy networks are the fiducial points of the TRF.

### 2.1 IAG Measurement Services

Each service coordinates its own network, including field stations and supporting infrastructure. Here we will review the current status of each measurement service.

#### 2.1.1 IGS

The foundation of the International GNSS Service (IGS, formerly the International GPS Service) is a global network of more than 350 permanent, continuously operating, geodetic-quality GPS and GPS/GLONASS sites. The station data are archived at four global data centres and six regional data centres. Ten analysis centres regularly process the data and contribute products to the analysis centre coordinator, who produces the official IGS combined orbit and clock products. Timescale, ionospheric, tropospheric, and reference frame products are analogously formed by specialized coordinators for each. More than 200 institutes and organizations in more than 80 countries contribute voluntarily to the IGS, a service formally begun in 1994. The IGS intends to integrate future GNSS signals (such as Galileo) into its activities, as demonstrated by the successful integration of GLONASS. [Kouba *et al.* 1998; Beutler *et al.* 1999; Dow 2003].

#### 2.1.2 ILRS

The International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS), created in 1998, currently tracks 28 retroreflector-

equipped satellites for geodynamics, remote sensing (altimeter, SAR, etc.), gravity field determination, general relativity, verification of GNSS orbits, and engineering tests [Pearlman *et al.* 2002]. Satellite altitudes range from a few hundreds of kilometres to GPS altitude (20,000 kilometres) and the Moon. The network includes forty laser ranging stations, two of which routinely range to four targets on the Moon. Satellites are added and deleted from the ILRS tracking roster as new programs are initiated and old programs are completed. The collected data are archived and disseminated via two centres, and several analysis centres voluntarily and routinely deliver products for TRF, EOP, POD, and gravity modelling and development.

### 2.1.3 IVS

The International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry (IVS) was established in 1999 and currently consists of 74 permanent components: coordinating centre, operation centres, network stations, correlators, analysis centres, and technology development centres. The IVS observing network includes about 30 regularly-observing IVS stations and 20 to 30 collaborating stations participating in selected IVS programs on an irregular basis [Behrend and Baver 2005]. Twenty-four-hour sessions twice per week as well as other less frequent sessions are used to determine the complete set of EOP (polar motion, celestial pole coordinates, UT1-UTC), station coordinates and velocities, and the positions of the radio sources. VLBI is the only space technique capable of Universal Time (UT1) monitoring. IVS uses daily one-hour single baseline sessions with low latency for this purpose [Schlueter *et al.* 2002].

### 2.1.4 IDS

The International DORIS Service (IDS) was created in 2003 [Tavernier *et al.* 2005]. The current ground tracking network is composed of 55 stations allowing an almost continuous tracking of the current five satellites (SPOT-2, -3 and -4 used for remote sensing applications, Jason-1, and Envisat used for satellite altimetry). The main applications of the DORIS system are precise orbit determination, geodesy and geophysics [Willis *et al.* 2005]. Using improved gravity Earth models derived from the GRACE mission [Tapley *et al.* 2004], DORIS weekly station positions can now be regularly obtained at the 10mm level [Willis *et al.* 2004]. DORIS data are available at the two IDS Data Centers since 1990 (SPOT-2). In 1999 a DORIS Pilot Experiment was created by the IAG [Tavernier *et al.* 2002] leading

gradually to the IDS. The French space agency (CNES) has the leading role in the IDS.

### 2.1.5 IGFS

The International Gravity Field Service (IGFS) was created in 2003 to provide coordination and standardization for gravity field modelling. It supports the IAG scientific and outreach goals and therefore GGOS, through activities such as collecting data for fundamental gravity field observation networks (e.g., a global absolute reference network, co-located with satellite stations and other geodetic observation techniques), data collection and release of marine, surface, and airborne gravity data for improved global model development (e.g., EGM96 [Lemoine *et al.* 1998]), and advocating consistent standards for gravity field models across the IAG services. Establishing new methodology and science applications, particularly in the integration and validation of data from a variety of sources, is another focus of the service. The IGFS is composed of a variety of primary service entities: Bureau Gravimétrique International (BGI), International Geoid Service (IGeS), International Center for Earth Tides (ICET), and International Center for Global Earth Models (ICGEM), with the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) participating as an IGFS Technical Center.

## 2.2 Communications

Transmission of data from the network instruments to data centres and processing or analysis centres is a function critical to all the techniques. For the satellite services, data transmission is normally via primarily the Internet through terrestrial or satellite communications networks. Due to the volume of data (terabytes per station per 24 hours), VLBI data are currently shipped on recorded media, but transmission of data via high-speed fibre is a future goal. Gravity data are currently exchanged via Internet or massive storage media on an “as needed” basis. Control and coordination information is also routinely and primarily sent via the Internet. Sites are often situated where suitable access to communications networks, and ideally Internet, exists. In some cases, however, connectivity must be installed at existing sites. Communications costs are borne by the operating agencies, which in remote areas is often at considerable expense. The GN&C WG will improve efficiency through coordinated implementation of modern methods and additional sharing of communications facilities and infrastructure.

### 3. Synergy of the Observing Techniques

At the dawn of space age about half a century ago, the individual national classical systems that were then dominating geodesy started slowly to be replaced by initially crude global equivalents (e.g., the SAO Standard Earth models), and later on, when the first satellite navigation constellations like TRANSIT became available, by more sophisticated “World Geodetic Systems” (e.g., the US DoD-developed WGS60, 66, 72, and WGS84). As space techniques proliferated throughout the world, it soon became apparent that the optimal approach would be to make use of all available systems, and to share the burden of the development through international coordination and cooperation. This section reviews the synergistic contributions of space geodetic techniques to various products.

#### 3.1 The Terrestrial Reference Frame

The dramatic improvement of space geodesy techniques in the eighties, thanks to NASA’s Crustal Dynamics Project and Europe’s WEGENER Project, has dramatically increased the accuracy of TRF determination [Smith and Turcotte 1993]. However, none of the space geodesy techniques alone are able to provide all the necessary parameters for the TRF datum definition (origin, scale, and orientation). While satellite techniques are sensitive to Earth’s centre of mass, VLBI is not. The scale is dependent on the modelling of some physical parameters, and the absolute TRF orientation (unobservable by any technique) is arbitrary or conventionally defined through specific constraints. Once the conventions are established, VLBI, unlike the other space techniques, can observe the progression of ITRF orientation in space. The utility of multi-technique combinations is therefore recognized for the TRF implementation, and in particular for accurate datum realization.

Since the creation of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS), the current implementation of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) has been based on suitably weighted multi-technique combination, incorporating individual TRF solutions derived from space geodesy techniques as well as local ties of co-location sites. The IERS has recently initiated a new effort to improve the quality of ties at existing co-location sites, crucial for ITRF development [Richter *et al.* 2005].

The particular strengths of each observing method can compensate for weaknesses in others.

SLR defines the ITRF2000 geocentric origin, which is stable to a few mm/decade, and SLR and VLBI define the absolute scale stability to around 0.5 ppb/decade (equivalent to a shift of approximately 3mm in station heights) [Altamimi *et al.* 2002]. Measurement of geocentre motion is under refinement by the analysis centres of all satellite techniques. The density of the IGS network provides easy and rigorous TRF access world-wide, using precise IGS products and facilitates the implementation of the rotational time evolution of the TRF in order to satisfy the No-Net-Rotation condition over tectonic motions of Earth’s crust. DORIS contributes a geographically well-distributed network, the long-term permanency of its stations, and its early decision to co-locate with other tracking systems. We recognize that we will need to consider non-linear motions in future reference frame solutions. A first step towards this goal is the use of time series analysis rather than just position and velocity products.

The TRF is heavily dependent on the quality of each network and suffers with any network degradation over time. The current distribution and quantity of co-location sites as depicted in Figure 2 (in particular sites with three and four techniques) is sub-optimal.

#### 3.2 Earth Orientation Parameters

Earth orientation parameters measure the orientation of Earth with respect to inertial space (which is required for satellite orbit determination and spacecraft navigation) and to the TRF, which is a precondition for long-term monitoring. Polar motion and UT1 track changes in angular momentum in the fluid and solid components of the Earth system driven by phenomena like weather patterns,




Figure 2. Distribution of space geodesy co-location sites since 1999.

ocean tides and circulation, post-glacial rebound, and great earthquakes. The celestial pole position, on the other hand, is dependent on the deep structure of Earth. Only VLBI measures celestial pole position and UT1, and VLBI also defines the ICRF (International Celestial Reference Frame) [Ma *et al.* 1998], whose fiducial objects (mostly quasars) have no detectable physical motion across the sky because of their great distance. The two-decade VLBI data set contributes a long-time series of polar motion, UT1 and celestial pole position. Satellite techniques (GPS, SLR, and DORIS) measure polar motion and length of day relative to the orbital planes of the satellites tracked. In practice, recent polar motion time series are derived from GPS with a high degree of automation, and predictions of UT1 rely on GPS length of day and atmospheric excitation functions.

### 3.3 Gravity, Geoid, and Vertical Datum

Gravity is important to many scientific and engineering disciplines, as well as to society in general. It describes how the “vertical” direction changes from one location to another, and similarly, it defines at each point the equipotential surface; therefore, it describes the direction that “water flows.” Global scale models of terrestrial gravity and geoid [Lemoine *et al.* 1998] are now routinely delivered on a monthly basis by missions like GRACE, with a resolution of 200 kilometres or so, and high accuracy [Tapley *et al.* 2004]. The addition of surface gravity observations can extend the resolution of these models down to tens of kilometres in areas of dense networks. Worldwide databases of absolute and relative gravity, airborne and marine gravity are collected and maintained by IGFS. Astronomically-driven temporal variations of gravity (Earth, ocean, and atmospheric tides) are also a product of this and other IAG services. The combination of all this information is crucial in precisely determining instantaneous position on Earth or in orbit, the direction of the vertical and the height of any point on or around Earth, and the computation of precise orbits for near-Earth as well as interplanetary spacecraft. Similarly, the vertical datum is the common reference for science, engineering, mapping, and navigation problems. Achieving a globally consistent vertical datum of very high accuracy has been a prime geodetic problem for decades, and only recently (thanks to satellite altimetry and the latest gravity missions like CHAMP and GRACE) is a successful result in reach. Strengthening and maintaining a close link between the “geometric” and “gravimetric” reference frames is of paramount importance to the goals of GGOS.

### 3.4 Precise Orbit Determination

Precise orbit determination is one of the principal applications of the satellite techniques (GPS, SLR, DORIS), and has direct application to many different scientific disciplines such as ocean topography mapping, measurement of sea level change, determination of ice sheet height change, precise geo-referencing of imaging and remote sensing data, and measurement of site deformation using synthetic aperture radar (SAR) or GPS. The techniques have evolved from metre-level orbit determination of satellites such as LAGEOS in the early 1980’s to cm-level today. The computation of precise orbits allows these satellite tracking data to be used for gravity field determination (both static and time-variable) and the estimation of other geophysical parameters such as post glacial rebound, ocean tidal parameters, precise coordinates of tracking sites, or the measurement of geocentre motion.

Precise orbit determination, which requires precise UT1 and gravity models, underpins the analysis that in parallel has resulted in improved station coordinate estimation, and thereby improved realizations of the TRF (e.g., ITRF2000). There is close synergy between POD and TRF realization. The density of data available from GPS (and in the future from other GNSS including Galileo) allows the estimation of reduced-dynamic or kinematic orbits with radial accuracy of a few centimetres even on low-altitude satellites such as CHAMP and GRACE. Only a few satellites carry multiple tracking systems, but space-based co-location is invaluable. The detailed inter-comparison of orbits computed independently from SLR, DORIS, and GPS data confirms that Jason-1 orbits have a one-centimetre radial accuracy [Luthcke *et al.* 2003]. These techniques are complementary; the precise but intermittent SLR tracking of altimeter satellites, such as Envisat or TOPEX/Poseidon, is complemented by the dense tracking available from the DORIS network. SLR tracking of the GPS, GLONASS or future Galileo satellites is and will be vital to calibrating GNSS satellite biases and assuring the realization of a high quality TRF.

## 4. Future Requirements

The measurement requirements for GGOS will be set by the GGOS Project Board with guidance from the Science Council [Rummel *et al.* 2002]. Until these requirements are formally specified, we judge the practical useful target for the TRF and space geodetic measurement accuracy to be roughly a factor of five to 15 below today’s levels. Given that the TRF and global geodesy are now accurate to the

order of 1cm (or five to 15 millimetres for different quantities) and 2mm/yr, we foresee near-term utility in global measurements with absolute accuracies at or below 1mm and 0.2mm/yr. Corresponding levels of improvement are required for Earth orientation and gravity.

## 5. Evolution of the Techniques

Each of the GGOS Services techniques envisions technological and operational advances that will enhance measurement capability. Some advances are currently being implemented while others are in the process of design or development. In addition, each technique-related service is seeking to improve not only data quality and precision, but also reliability of data and product delivery, performance, continuity, station stability, data latency (which in the case of GNSS includes real-time) and data handling techniques and modelling. While making these improvements, contributors seek operational efficiencies in order to minimize costs.

### 5.1 GNSS

Geodetic GNSS has already evolved from GPS-only operations to inclusion of GLONASS, and upgrades to next-generation receivers will allow full benefit from modernized GPS signal structures, Galileo signals, and GLONASS signals. Studies leading to improved handling of calibration issues such as local signal effects (e.g., multipath) and antenna phase patterns are underway, as are initiatives to fill remaining network gaps, particularly in the southern hemisphere. Elsewhere, station density is less problematic and the focus has shifted to consolidation of supplementary instrumentation such as strain metres and meteorological sensors.

### 5.2 Laser Ranging

Newly designed and implemented laser ranging systems operate semi-autonomously and autonomously at kilohertz frequencies, providing faster satellite acquisition, improved data yield, and extended range capability, at substantially reduced cost. Improved control systems permit much more efficient pass interleaving and new higher resolution event-timers deliver picosecond timing. The higher resolution will make two-wavelength operation for atmospheric refraction delay recovery more practical and applicable for model validation. The current laser ranging network suffers from weak geographic distribution, particularly in Africa and the southern hemisphere. The comprehensive fundamental network should include additional co-located sites to fill in this gap.

Improved satellite retroreflector array designs will reduce uncertainties in centre-of-mass corrections, and optical transponders currently under development offer opportunities for extraterrestrial measurements.

### 5.3 VLBI

The VLBI component of the future fundamental network will be the next-generation system now undergoing conceptual development. Critical elements include fast slewing; high efficiency 10-12m diameter antennas; ultra wide bandwidth front ends with continuous radio frequency (RF) coverage; digitized back ends with selectable frequency segments covering a substantial portion of the RF bandwidth; data rate improvements by a factor of two to 16; a mixture of disk-based recording and high speed network data transfer, near real-time correlation among networks of processors, and rapid automated generation of products. Better geographic distribution, especially in the southern hemisphere, is required.

### 5.4 DORIS

The DORIS tracking network is being modernized using third-generation antennae and improvements to beacon monumentation [Tavernier *et al.* 2005]. Efforts are underway to expand the network to fill in gaps in existing coverage. DORIS beacons are also being deployed to support altimeter calibration, co-location with other geodetic techniques, or specific short-term experiments. A specific IDS working group is selecting sites and occupations for such campaigns, using additional DORIS beacons provided by CNES to the IDS.

### 5.5 Gravity

Gravity observations are most sensitive to height changes; they therefore provide an obvious way to define and control the vertical datum. A uniformly-distributed network of regularly cross-calibrated absolute gravimeters supported by a well-designed relative measurement network that will be repeatedly observed at regular intervals, and a sub-network of continuously operating superconducting tidal gravimeters are expected in a fundamental network of co-located techniques. These permanent networks should be augmented with targeted airborne and ship campaigns to collect data over large areas that are devoid of gravimetric observations. A well-distributed global data set of surface data is necessary to calibrate and validate products of the recent (CHAMP and GRACE) and upcoming (GOCE) high-accuracy and -resolution missions. Eventually, gravimetry will need to devise a method analogous

to InSAR, to continuously “map” changes in the field with resolution many orders of magnitude higher than currently achievable from any geopotential mapping mission. The organization of a gravity field service is underway and the integration of its activities should emerge shortly.

## ***6. Approaches to Network Design***

The final design of the GGOS network must take into consideration all of the applications including the geometric and gravimetric reference frames, EOP, POD, geophysics, oceanography, etc. We will first consider the TRF, since its accuracy influences all other GGOS products. Early steps in the process are:

1. Define the critical contributions that each technique provides to the TRF, POD, EOP, etc.
2. Characterize the improvements that could be anticipated over the next 10 years with each technique.
3. Examine the effect in the TRF and Earth orientation resulting from the loss of a significant part of the current network or observation program.
4. Using simulation techniques, quantify the improvement in the TRF, Earth orientation, and other key products as stations are added and station capability (co-location, data quantity, and quality) is improved. We will also explore the benefit of adding new SLR targets.

### ***6.1 Impact of Network Degradation on the TRF***

Preliminary results [Govind 2005] indicate the origin drift caused by removal of one station, Yarragadee (Australia), from SLR analysis. The drift is about 0.6, 1, and 1mm/yr over the origin components around the three axes X, Y, Z, respectively. This drift is at least three times larger than requirements for high-precision Earth science applications such as sea level change and other geophysical processes.

### ***6.2 Effect of System and Network Degradation on Other GGOS Products***

The TRF is a primary space geodesy product, but it is also the basis on which every other product is referenced. As such, degradation in its definition

and maintenance influences the quality of these other products and services, such as EOP, geocentre motion, temporal global gravity variations, and POD.

The degradation can originate in two ways: geometric changes (as those shown by the example of section 6.1) and changes in the type, amount and spatiotemporal distribution of the observations. In practice what happens is a combination of both. To quantify the resultant errors is not an easy task because there are infinitely many possible variations in the network of TRF stations, supporting techniques, and selection of data. Examination of particular station deletions that either happened in practice or had been proposed indicates [Pavlis and Kuzmich-Cieslak 2005] that even moderate degradations impact results significantly more than their quoted accuracies. This confirms the present IIRS network is not robust to any contraction; the smallest perturbation of the system yields large uncontrolled changes in the products.

The closing of the Arequipa Peru and Haleakala Hawaii SLR sites for example, degraded origin, orientation and scale by 3 to 4 times the standard deviation of the relevant parameters. Impact on geocentre motion was almost two times worse. Temporal variations of the gravity field coefficients are less sensitive due to their nature as proxies of global scale changes, but were still degraded by several standard deviations. On the positive side, for a modest improvement from an old TRF (ca. 1995) to the current one (ITRF2000), POD-based products (such as altimeter derived Mean Sea Level) improved by 30 per cent.

Much more work is required to assess the effects of such changes in the tracking networks of all space geodesy techniques, and their combined effect on the final products. The sizes of these separate networks and the infinite possible variations in their design, overlap and operation, and the quality of their data and the targets used for collecting their observations complicate this task, but a few well-thought-through scenarios will be tested with future simulations.

### ***6.3 Improvements in the TRF and Other Key Products***

Expected advances in instrumentation, as described in section 5, will cause improvements in the TRF and the various products, but the accuracy needed for future science applications will require optimization of the ground network. Simulation capabilities will be developed that will allow for evaluation and optimization of the locations of potential sites.

In addition, the benefit of introducing a few new SLR targets needs to be evaluated. Target interaction with the current large LAGEOS satellites is one of the principal limitations in mm-level SLR, and smaller targets would support the necessary accuracy. New lower-altitude targets would allow more observation opportunities per day, increased probability of tracking from lower-power systems (particularly during daylight) and a more accurate determination of the Earth's mass centre, critical for both controlling the drift in the origin of the TRF as well as observing the seasonal geocenter motions associated with large-scale mass transport within the Earth system.

Simultaneously, enhanced performance of each of the individual techniques should result as each technique's data and analysis outputs are further combined and compared and eventually integrated.

## ***7. Sustaining the Ground Network Over the Long Term***

The measurement techniques services have each maintained their own networks and supporting infrastructure, routinely producing data, but suffer from severe budget constraints of the voluntarily contributing agencies that prevent appropriate maintenance and development of physical and computational assets. This degradation of the observing network capability coincides with the deployment of high-value science investigations and missions, such as sea level studies from ocean and ice-sheet altimetry missions, eroding their scientific return and limiting their ability to meet the mission goals.

Many of the elements of the current networks are funded from year to year and depend on specific activities. Stations are often financed for capital and maintenance and operations costs through research budgets, which may not constitute a long-term commitment. Sudden changes in funding as priorities and organizations change have resulted in devastating impacts on station and network performance. On the other hand, missions and long-term projects have assumed that the networks will be in place at no cost to them, fully functioning when their requirements need fulfillment. GGOS will be proactive in helping to persuade funding sources that the networks are interdependent infrastructure that needs long-term, stable support. The GGOS community must secure long-term commitments from sponsoring and contributing agencies for its evolution and operations in order to support its users with high-quality products. Since the present networks must support current as well as future requirements, the GGOS network must evolve without interruption of data and

data products. In view of the difficulties in securing long-lasting and stable financial support by the interested parties, new financial models for the networks must be developed. This Working Group will work with the Strategy and Funding Working Group to develop an approach.

Since the present networks must support current as well as future requirements, the GGOS network must evolve without interruption of data and data products. In particular, the TRF relies on a long continuous history of data for its stability and robustness. New and upgraded systems, changes in stations locations, and changes in the way products are formed must be planned and phased so that the impacts are well documented and well understood.

The analysis and simulation procedures being undertaken by the Working Group will identify network voids and shortcomings. The Ground Networks and Communications Working Group, in concert with the other GGOS entities, will work with agencies and international organizations toward filling in these gaps.

## ***8. Summary***

A permanent geodetic network of complementary yet interdependent space geodetic techniques is critical for geodetic and geophysical applications and underpins the Global Earth Observation System of Systems. Thanks to the generous and voluntary contributions of many national agencies and institutions around the world, the IAG has been able to coordinate global collaborations for geodetic technique-based services from which all benefit. There is a strong need for coordination of the planning, funding and operation of future integrated geodetic networks to maximize performance in meeting evolving requirements while taking into account the need for sustainable infrastructure and efficient operations. The GGOS Ground Networks & Communications Working Group has initiated studies, which will guide the services in infrastructure planning for optimal benefit to Earth science and associated engineering and societal concerns.

## ***Acknowledgments***

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of IAG services (IGS, ILRS, IVS, IDS, IGFS, and IERS) and their participating organizations. Part of this work was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## References

- Altamimi, Z., P. Sillard and C. Boucher. 2002. ITRF2000, A new release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame for earth science applications. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Solid Earth, 107(B10), 2214.
- Behrend, D. and K.D. Baver (Eds). 2005. International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry 2004 Annual Report, NASA/TP-2005-212772, 2005.
- Beutler, G., M. Rothacher, S. Schaer, T.A. Springer, J. Kouba and R.E. Neilan. 1999. The International GPS Service (IGS), An interdisciplinary service in support of Earth Sciences, *Advances in Space Research*, 23(4), pp. 631-653.
- Dow, J.M. 2003. IGS, The International GPS Service for leading-edge space missions, *ESA Bulletin*, 116, pp. 64-69.
- Govind, R. 2005. Private communication.
- Group on Earth Observations. 2005. Global Earth Observation System of Systems GEOSS 10-Year Implementation Plan Reference Document - GEO 1000R, 209 pages.
- Kouba, J., Y. Mireault, G. Beutler, T. Springer and G. Gendt, 1998. A Discussion of IGS Solutions and Their Impact on Geodetic and Geophysical Applications *GPS Solutions*, 2(2), pp. 3-15.
- Lemoine, F.G., S.C. Kenyon, J.K. Factor, R.G. Trimmer, N.K. Pavlis, D.S. Chinn, C.M. Cox, S.M. Klosko, S.B. Luthcke, M.H. Torrence, Y.M. Wang, R.G. Williamson, E.C. Pavlis, R.H. Rapp, and T.R. Olson. 1998. The Development of the Joint NASA GSFC and the National Imagery and Mapping Agency (NIMA) Geopotential Model EGM96, NASA/TP-1998-206861, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, 575 pages.
- Luthcke, S.B., N.P. Zelensky, D.D. Rowlands, F.G. Lemoine and T.A. Williams. 2003. The 1-centimeter orbit, Jason-1 precision orbit determination using GPS, SLR, DORIS, and altimeter data, *Marine Geodesy*, 26(3-4), pp. 399-421.
- Ma, C., F. Arias, T.M. Eubanks, A.L. Fey, A.M. Gontier, C.S. Jacobs, O.J. Sovers, B.A. Archinal and P. Charlot. 1998. The International Celestial Reference Frame as realized by Very Long Baseline Interferometry, *Astronomical Journal*, 116(1), pp. 516-546.
- Pavlis, E.C. and M. Kuzmicz-Cieslak. 2005. Impact of SLR Tracking Network Variations on the Definition of the TRF, IAG/IAPSØIABO Joint Assembly, Cairns Australia, August 22-26. Series: IAG Symposia, Vol. 130, in press.
- Pearlman, M.R., J.J. Degnan and J.M. Bosworth. 2002. The International Laser Ranging Service, *Advances in Space Research*, 30(2), pp. 135-143.
- Richter, B., W. Schwegmann and W. Dick (eds.). 2005. Proceedings of the IERS Workshop on site co-location. Matera, Italy, 23 - 24 October 2003 (IERS Technical Note ; 33).
- Rummel, R., H. Drewes and G. Beutler. 2002. Integrated Global Observing System IGGOS, A candidate IAG Project, In Proc. *International Association of Geodesy*, Vol. 125, pp. 135-143.
- Schlueter, W., E. Himwich, A. Nothnagel, N. Vandenberg and A. Whitney. 2002. IVS and its important role in the maintenance of the global reference systems, *Advances in Space Research*, 30(2), pp. 145-150.
- Smith, D. and D. Turcotte (eds.). 1993. Contributions of Space Geodesy to Geodynamics: Crustal Dynamics (23), Earth Dynamics (24) and Technology (25), AGU Geodynamics Series.
- Tapley, B.D., S. Bettadpur, M. Watkins and C. Reigber. 2004. the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment, Mission overview and early results, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(9), L09607.
- Tavernier, G., H. Fagard, M. Feissel-Vernier, F. Lemoine, C. Noll, J.C. Ries, L. Soudarin and P. Willis. 2005. The International DORIS Service, IDS. *Advances in Space Research*. 36(3), pp. 333-341, DOI: 10.1016/j.asr.2005.03.102.
- Tavernier, G., L. Soudarin, K. Larson, C. Noll, J. Ries and P. Willis. 2002. Current status of the DORIS Pilot Experiment and the future International DORIS Service, *Advances in Space Research*, 30(2), pp. 151-156.
- Willis, P. and M. Heflin. 2004. External validation of the GRACE GGM01C Gravity Field using GPS and DORIS positioning results, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(13), L13616.
- Willis, P., C. Boucher, H. Fagard and Z. Altamimi. 2005. Geodetic applications of the DORIS system at the French Institut Geographique National. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, 337(7), pp. 653-662.

## Authors

*Michael Pearlman* is a program manager at the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics in Cambridge, MA. He has a PhD in physics from Tufts University and a S.M. in management from the Sloan School at M.I.T. Dr. Pearlman joined the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) in 1968 to work on the early development and deployment of the satellites ranging systems for the SAO network. In 1971 to 1972 he was a visiting scientist at the Office of Geodetic Satellites at NASA Headquarters. From 1972 to 1983 he was the manager of the SAO satellite tracking program that included the SLR and Baker-Nunn Camera network and supporting infrastructure. In 1984 Dr. Pearlman became a special consultant to the NASA Crustal Dynamics Program for operation, engineering, and overseas program development. He was the NASA representative to the International WEGENER Program and the Asian Pacific Space Geodynamics Program. Dr. Pearlman is now the Director of the Central Bureau of the International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS) and a member of its Governing Board. He is a member of the GGOS Steering Committee and Executive Committee. He is also the coordinator for the GGOS Working Group on Ground Networks and Communication.

*Zuheir Altamimi* received his PhD in Space Geodesy from the Paris Observatory in 1990. His research interest is theory and realization of terrestrial reference systems. He has nearly 20 years of experience in analysis of global terrestrial reference frames within the ITRF activity. Dr. Altamimi is head of the ITRS/ITRF Product Center and a member of the IERS Directing Board. He serves as president of IAG sub-commission on Regional Reference Frames and Chair of the EUREF Technical Working Group.

*Norman Beck* is an Ontario Land Surveyor (Geodesy) and has been working with GPS for over 26 years. After graduating from the University of Toronto, he joined Nortech where he developed GPS positioning and integrated navigation software for the first available commercial receivers. In the mid 1980's, he joined the Geodetic Survey Division of NRCan where he has had a variety of duties and assignments including: GPS software development, GPS data processing and analysis, managing field surveys, heading Client Services Section and more recently the Active Control Systems Section. He is currently chief of the Division.

*Rene Forsberg* is currently working at the Department of Geodesy of the Danish National Space Centre. Previously he was the State Geodesist at the National Survey and Cadastre of Denmark and Head of the Geodynamics Department where he led research and development in the broad field of geodynamics, physical geodesy, and seismology. He holds PhDs completed in Denmark and Norway and his personal research interests focus on activities in physical geodesy, GPS/INS, and static and kinematic gravimetry. He has authored or co-authored approximately 190 contributions and papers since 1978, of which 55 in reviewed proceedings or journals.

*W. Gurtner* received his diploma in surveying engineering in 1973 and a PhD in technical sciences in 1978, both from the Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich. From 1979 to 1986 he was a research assistant at the Astronomical Institute of the University of Bern. In 1987 he became the head of the institute's observatory in Zimmerwald. He was awarded the title of Professor in 1999. He developed several versions of the RINEX GPS exchange format, was project manager for the upgrade of the Zimmerwald SLR/CCD system and developed a major part of its control software. He is currently chairman of the Governing Board of the International Laser Ranging Service.

*Mr. Steve Kenyon* is currently a Senior Scientist in the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) concentrating on International Programs and Technical Initiatives in Geodesy. He has led major geodetic projects including the development of

EGM96 and the Arctic Gravity Project. He is currently leading efforts for a new Earth Gravitational Model, which is planned to be released in late 2006. Mr. Kenyon is a Fellow of the IAG, an Official Representative of the International Gravity Field Service, and was the 2000 Heiskanen Award winner at The Ohio State University.

*Dr. Dirk Behrend* is a scientist with NVI, Inc./NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. He is the Coordinating Center Director of the International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry. He holds a diploma degree in Geodesy from the University of Hannover, Germany, and a doctor's degree in Geodesy from the same university.

*Frank Lemoine* is a geodesist at the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center in the Planetary Geodynamics Branch. His specialty is precision orbit determination, precision orbit modelling, gravity field, and geodetic parameter estimation. He has worked on the Clementine, and Mars Global Surveyor missions where he developed precise orbits for use with the laser altimeters. He has developed gravity models for the Moon, Earth, and Mars, is a co-investigator on the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter Gravity Science Team, and on the GRACE science team. He currently serves as the analysis coordinator for the International DORIS Service.

*Dr. Chopo Ma* is a specialist in VLBI in the Planetary Geodynamics Laboratory of NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center. He has a BA from Harvard and a PhD from University of Maryland. His current research activities include determination of the terrestrial and celestial reference frames. He is chair of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service and sits on the boards of the Global Geodetic Observing System, the International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry, and the National Earth Orientation Service. He leads the work to update the International Celestial Reference Frame.

*Carey Noll* is the manager of the Crustal Dynamics Data Information System, the CDDIS, located at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center. This system is internationally recognized as NASA's archive of space geodesy data, particularly, GNSS, laser ranging, VLBI, and DORIS data sets. Ms. Noll, who holds a BA degree in Mathematics from Western Maryland College, has over 25 years of experience at Goddard, in the development of data systems, data base administration, applications programming, computer system management, and web development. She is a member of the International GNSS Service, International Laser Ranging Service, and International DORIS Service Governing Boards and serves as secretary of the ILRS Central Bureau.

*Erricos C. Pavlis* is a Research Associate Professor, Physics, at the Univ. of Maryland Baltimore County, doing research for NASA and the NGA at UMBC's Joint Center for Earth Systems Technology. He participated in the LAGEOS, LAGEOS-2, the Crustal Dynamics Project, the TOPEX/Poseidon Mission, and the EGM96 development. Currently he is a science team member of GRACE and OST missions, and a co-PI of the LARES mission, vice-chairman of COSPAR's Panel on Satellite Dynamics, member of ILRS's Central Bureau and Analysis and Modeling WG, chairman of the ILRS Refraction Study group, IAG's Subcommittee 1.4 Working Group 1.4.4, and associate editor for *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*.

*Zinovy Malkin* is Dr. Sci, specialist in analysis of the Space Geodesy observations. For the last 10 years he has served as the head of the IAA EOP Service, and has been involved in computation and analysis of EOP and station coordinates time series from VLBI, SLR and GPS, and algorithm and software development. He is a member of the IVS Directing Board, an Associate Member of the IERS, a member of IAU Commission 19 Organizing Committee, and several international working groups.

*Angelyn Moore* is the Network Coordinator and Deputy Director of the International GPS Service's Central Bureau at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology. Prior positions at JPL include the GPS Networks and Ionospheric Systems Development group (1995 to 1998) and Time and Frequency Standards Research and Development group (1988 to 1995). Angelyn's PhD dissertation from the University of California, Riverside (1995) is entitled "Dynamics of Laser-Cooled Ions Confined in Radio-Frequency Traps." She also holds an MS in Physics from California State University, Los Angeles and a BS in Physics from Harvey Mudd College.

*Dr. Webb* is a Principal Member of the Technical Staff at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology. From 2000 to 2006, he was the Group Supervisor for the Satellite Geodesy and Geodynamics Systems Group at JPL. Responsibilities within his group included the JPL IGS Analysis Center products, the development of the GIPSY software, and NASA's Global GPS Network. Prior to this, Dr. Webb was the Program Element Manager for the Southern California Integrated GPS Network (SCIGN). In 2005, he and his colleagues were inducted into the Space Foundation's Space Technology Hall of Fame for their contributions to high precision GPS positioning. In addition to his duties at JPL, he is a member of the Board of Directors of UNAVCO Inc.

*Ms. Ruth E. Neilan* is Director of the Central Bureau of the International GNSS Service (IGS, formerly the International GPS Service from 1994 to 2005, a recognized service of the International Association of Geodesy (IAG). The IGS Central Bureau is located at NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory of the California Institute of Technology (<http://igs.cb.jpl.nasa.gov>) in Pasadena, CA. Ms. Neilan has been involved with the civil GPS networks and applications since 1984. From 1992 to 1998 she was the GPS operations manager for NASA/JPL's GPS Global Network and project manager for scientific support of regional experiments. She received her MSc in Civil and Environmental Engineering from the University of Wisconsin-Madison in 1986.

*Dr. John Ries* is a senior research scientist at the Center for Space Research at The University of Texas in Austin. His research activities include orbital mechanics, geodesy, relativity, and the application of computers and computational techniques to the solution of problems in those areas. His current efforts are toward improving precision orbit determination for the Jason-1 altimeter mission and gravity model determination for the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE). He is a Fellow of the IAG and a member of the International DORIS Service Governing Board.

*Markus Rothacher*, born in Thun, Switzerland, studied astronomy, physics and mathematics at the Astronomical Institute of the University Berne. There, he became Head of the GPS Group. His doctoral thesis is entitled "Satellite Orbits in Space Geodesy" (1991), and his professorial dissertation "Recent Contributions of GPS to Earth Rotation and Reference Frames" (1998). In 1999 he became Professor for Space Geodesy at the Technical University Munich. He is currently Professor for Satellite Geodesy and Earth Studies at the Technical University Berlin and Director of the Department "Geodesy & Remote Sensing" at GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam (since 2005). He is a member of various international boards (IAG, IERS, IGS) and Chair of GGOS.

*Pascal Willis* received his PhD in Geodesy at the Paris Observatory, France, in 1989. He has been working at the Institut Geographique National, France since 1983 on satellite geodesy, using GPS, GLONASS or the DORIS system. Since 2001, he has been working at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory on precise orbit determination. He is the current vice-President of Commission 4 (Positioning and Applications) of the International Association of Geodesy and a previous Editor of the *Journal of Geodesy*. □

# Leica SmartRover Travel Light



## Introducing the Leica SmartRover: The world's lightest cable-free RTK GPS System.

Now the world's best-performing GPS system is also the world's lightest. Less weight reduces operator fatigue – and boosts productivity. Best of all, we've dropped weight without reducing performance. You still get the powerful SmartTrack measurement engine that out-performs every GPS system on the market. Count on heavyweight performance from this amazingly lightweight system. Only from Leica Geosystems.

### Leica SmartRover

- SmartTrack and SmartCheck for best GPS results
- All-on-the-pole convenience
- WinCE and Bluetooth/MIL spec ruggedness
- Fully compatible with Leica TPS1200 and SmartStation
- To schedule a demo, contact your local Leica Geosystems rep or call 1-800-746-3607.

- when it has to be right

**Leica**  
Geosystems